Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,492	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) Barry Swanson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		·
Claims discussed: 13, 24, 25		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
·		
	·	
Henot		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After further review it was determined that claims 24 and 25 should be amended to better correspond with the Applicant's disclosure. Examiner then telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss the suggestions for claims 24 and 25 including altering the MMAD ranges and also inserting the range "about 0.2 to about 0.3 microns" in the specification. Mr. Swanson agreed with the said suggestions for claims 24 and 25 and the specification. Mr. Swanson further indicated that including the term "administering" in claim 13 adds to the clarity of the method step in this claim. The changes are shown in an Examiner's Amendment (attached).